

Motion for JDSF, 10-10-07

Moved by Member Nawi  
Seconded by Member Giacomini

**The Board directs staff to finalize Alternative G for consideration by the Board as follows:**

**1. That the Jackson Advisory Committee be as follows:**

*To be appointed by the Director, subject to approval of both membership and charter by the Board.*

*Membership – to be defined in the committee charter and to include persons with knowledge of forest resource issues; be drawn from a variety of interests, including local and beyond, environmental, timber management, and recreational; have expertise in relevant scientific disciplines, e.g., forestry, botany, ecology, fish biology. The charter will specify the number of members. Members do not “represent” particular interests – they are chosen for knowledge and are to represent the public interest.*

*Provides advice/recommendations to:*

- *Board and Director/Department re issues relevant to review of Plan for possible changes in the initial three-year review period.*
- *Director/Department and Board re ongoing implementation issues*
- *Board and Director/Department on any policy matters.*

*The Department will seek public and Board input regarding the committee charter, responsibilities, composition, and members.*

*Other Committees*

*Department’s Demonstration State Forest Advisory Group*

*Already exists.*

*Addresses issues re demonstration forests, primarily on a statewide basis*

*Board Statewide Committee on Research*

*To be re-established*

*Appointed by Board*

*Board staff and the Department will assure consistency/coordination among three committees, each with a separate and different function.*

**2. That the following language recommended by the Mendocino Working Group be reflected in the discussion of stand structure:**

“Decisions on stand structure for future unspecified research projects be developed by JDSF staff in cooperation with researchers, DSFAG, and, when functioning, the [Jackson Advisory Group]”

**3. That the language of Table II.4, page II-28, Alternative G, of the Re-circulated Draft EIR be changed to reflect that allowable annual harvest is expected to be within a range of 20-25 MMBF per year, and shall not exceed 35 MMBF per year.**

**4. The Board also acknowledges that:**

- A. The enjoined THPs are not subject to interim restrictions.**
- B. The CalFire/PSW Research Station’s planned Casper Creek research projects are not subject to the interim restrictions.**

Motion Passes 7-2